ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about February 14, 1949, by Adams Apple Products, from Bendersville, Pa.

PRODUCT: 737 bags, containing a total of approximately 40,000 pounds, of apple pomace at Whippany, N. J.

NATURE OF CHARGE: Adulteration, Section 402 (a) (3), the product consisted in whole or in part of a filthy substance by reason of the presence of insect parts and rodent hairs.

DISPOSITION: June 7, 1949. Default decree of condemnation and destruction.

14983. Adulteration of grapefruit. U. S. v. 107 Boxes * * *. (F. D. C. No. 26851. Sample No. 29054–K.)

LIBEL FILED: March 14, 1949, District of Colorado.

ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about March 5, 1949, by M & W Fruit Co., from Pharr, Tex.

PRODUCT: 107 boxes of grapefruit at Denver, Colo.

NATURE OF CHARGE: Adulteration, Section 402 (a) (3), the product was unfit for food because of dryness, due to frost damage.

DISPOSITION: May 3, 1949. Default decree of condemnation and destruction.

14984. Adulteration of olives. U. S. v. 25 Kegs * * * (F. D. C. No. 26988. Sample No. 10853–K.)

LIBEL FILED: April 11, 1949, Southern District of New York.

ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about January 25, 1949, by the Corning Warehouse & Olive Products Co., from Corning, Calif.

PRODUCT: 25 kegs of olives at New York, N. Y.

NATURE OF CHARGE: Adulteration, Section 402 (a) (3), the product consisted in whole or in part of a decomposed substance by reason of the presence of mold.

DISPOSITION: April 29, 1949. Default decree of condemnation and destruction.

14985. Adulteration and misbranding of strawberry jam. U. S. v. 25 Cases * * * (F. D. C. No. 27010. Sample No. 48368-K.)

LIBEL FILED: April 19, 1949, Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about March 7, 1949, by the National Syrup Products Co., from Chicago, Ill.

PRODUCT: 25 cases, each containing 24 1-pound jars, of strawberry jam at Philadelphia, Pa.

LABEL, IN PART: (Jar) "National Brand Strawberry Fruit Spread."

NATURE OF CHARGE: Adulteration, Section 402 (b) (2), a product deficient in strawberries and containing artificial color, added water, and added sugar had been substituted in whole or in part for strawberry jam, which the product purported to be; and, Section 402 (b) (4), artificial color had been added to the product and mixed and packed with it so as to make it appear better and of greater value than it was.

Misbranding, Section 403 (g) (1), the product purported to be strawberry jam and failed to conform to the definition and standard of identity for strawberry jam since it was made from a mixture composed of less than 45 parts by weight of the strawberry ingredient to each 55 parts by weight of one of the saccharine ingredients and since it contained added water and artificial color, which are not permitted as optional ingredients of strawberry jam.